

## OFFICE OF INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS AND STUDENT SUCCESS

No. 92-17 December 2017

#### **RESEARCH BRIEF**

# **Evaluation of NOVA Education, Services, and Facilities: 2017 Graduate Survey**

This Research Brief presents information collected through the 2017 Graduate Survey. This survey was conducted in Fall 2017 and had a 9 percent response rate, with 598 of 6,669 graduates responding. The graduates who responded evaluated 40 total areas at NOVA on a five-point scale. This research brief focuses on survey questions that asked the respondents to evaluate five specific educational and student support areas at NOVA. These areas included (1) *general education*, (2) *academic instruction*, (3) *faculty*, (4) *facilities*, and (5) *services*. The respondents' survey ratings were assigned numerical values of "Excellent"=4, "Good"=3, "Average"=2, "Below Average"=1, and "Poor"=0. Respondents could also choose "no basis to judge/did not use," but the survey results that are discussed in this brief exclude responses that indicated this rating. Weighted average scores were calculated for each evaluation area covered in the survey. These weighted average scores are presented in both the "Key Findings" box below and the data tables at the end of this research brief.

#### **Key Findings**

The number in parentheses is the respondents' weighted average score for the given item.

- Education—see Figure 1 and Table 1
  - Respondents were most satisfied with how their NOVA education developed their awareness of many cultures (3.34) and their ability to appreciate other points of view (3.32).
  - However, respondents were least likely to indicate that NOVA had provided them an excellent or good understanding of international issues (2.78).
- Instruction—see Figure 2 and Table 2
  - Respondents were generally satisfied with instruction at NOVA. All items in this category received an excellent or good rating from at least 80 percent of respondents.
- Faculty—see Figure 2 and Table 2
  - Approximately 75 percent of respondents gave excellent or good ratings to faculty availability (3.10), teaching ability (3.08), and concern for students (2.99).
  - 63 percent of respondents rated faculty **advisement** as either excellent or good (2.78).
- Services and Facilities—see Figure 3 and Table 3
  - Respondents were least satisfied with career and educational planning (2.65), the cafeteria (2.59), course and program advisement (2.56), and personal counseling (2.52).

#### **Rating of NOVA Education**

Figure 1 presents the respondents' ratings of their education at NOVA. The categories are sorted in descending order by excellent and good rating.

■ Excellent or Good ■ Below Average or Poor Average ■ No Basis to Judge Appreciating Other Points 80% 13% 2% 5% of View Awareness of 79% 12% 3% 7% Many Cultures Writing 77% 14% 3% 6% Effectively Tech. Knowledge 14% 6% 3% 76% in Area of Study Cooperating 75% 16% 5% 4% with Others Speaking 75% 15% 4% 7% Effectively Using 72% 18% 4% 7% Computers Understanding 19% 69% 5% 7% Fund. Sci. Concepts Understanding 65% 22% 6% 7% Math Providing 64% 19% 7% 9% Leadership Understanding 21% 9% 49% 21% Int'l Issues 20% 40% 80% 0% 60% 100% **Percentage** 

Figure 1. Rating of NOVA Education: 2017 Graduate Survey

Total Respondents: 520.

### **Rating of NOVA Instruction and Faculty**

Figure 2 presents the respondents' ratings of the instruction and faculty at NOVA. The categories are sorted in descending order by excellent and good rating.

Excellent or Good Average ■ Below Average or Poor ■ No Basis to Judge Fairness 83% 13% 4% of Grading Instruction Course 82% 13% 5% <1% Content Quality of 81% 12% 7% <1% Instruction **Teaching Ability** 76% 17% <1% Availability 2% 75% 18% 5% Faculty Concern for Students 73% 16% 10% 1% Advisement 63% 16% 16% 5% 40% 60% 0% 20% 80% 100% Percentage

Figure 2. Rating of NOVA Instruction and Faculty: 2017 Graduate Survey

Total Respondents: 520.

#### **Rating of NOVA Services and Facilities**

Figure 3 presents the respondents' ratings of services and facilities at NOVA. The categories are sorted in descending order by excellent and good rating.

■ Excellent or Good Average ■ Below Average or Poor ■ No Basis to Judge Registration 79% 14% 5% 2% Library Facilities 12% 2% 10% 76% Computer Labs & Facil. 73% 12% 3% 12% Classrooms 72% 21% 2% 5% Science Labs & Equip. 68% 13% 3% 16% Maint. & Cust. Svcs 66% 13% 3% 18% Testing Lab Svcs 66% 15% 4% 15% Bookstore 66% 21% 8% 6% **Physical Access** 64% 16% 15% 5% Campus Security Svcs 60% 4% 21% 14% Course & Prog. Advisem't 57% 14% 22% 7% **ELI Services** 57% 5% 28% 11% Parking 57% 25% 12% 7% Learning Lab Facil. 10% 2% 32% 56% Career & Edu. Plan. Svcs 52% 16% 15% 16% Financial Aid 51% 12% 6% 30% Learning Lab Svcs 49% 12% 4% 34% Student Activities 48% 12% 8% 32% Pers. Counseling 45% 13% 19% 22% Writing Lab Svcs 45% 10% 3% 42% Cafeteria 43% 20% 13% 23% Svcs for Stu. w/ Disabilities 33% 5% 3% 60% 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% Percentage

Figure 3. Rating of NOVA Services and Facilities: 2017 Graduate Survey

Total Respondents: 512.

#### **Data Tables**

Table 1. Rating of NOVA Education: 2017 Graduate Survey

Category	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	Poor	No Basis to Judge	Score (0-4)	
Appreciating Other Points of	253	164	66	7	5	25	3.32	
View	(49%)	(32%)	(13%)	(1%)	(1%)	(5%)	0.02	
Awareness of Many Cultures	261	148	61	7	7	36	3.34	
Awareness of Marry Cultures	(50%)	(28%)	(12%)	(1%)	(1%)	(7%)	3.34	
Cooperating with Others	218	172	83	18	6	23	3.16	
Cooperating with Others	(42%)	(33%)	(16%)	(3%)	(1%)	(4%)	3.10	
Draviding Landarship	168	166	101	24	14	47	2.05	
Providing Leadership	(32%)	(32%)	(19%)	(5%)	(3%)	(9%)	2.95	
Speaking Effectively	200	189	78	13	6	34	3.16	
Speaking Ellectively	(38%)	(36%)	(15%)	(3%)	(1%)	(7%)	3.10	
Technical Knowledge in Your	215	182	75	18	13	17	3.13	
Area of Study	(41%)	(35%)	(14%)	(3%)	(3%)	(3%)	3.13	
Understanding Fundamental	191	168	99	13	11	38	2.07	
Scientific Concepts	(37%)	(32%)	(19%)	(3%)	(2%)	(7%)	3.07	
Understanding International	126	131	110	31	15	107	2.78	
Issues	(24%)	(25%)	(21%)	(6%)	(3%)	(21%)	2.70	
	166	171	115	16	17	35	0.00	
Understanding Math	(32%)	(33%)	(22%)	(3%)	(3%)	(7%)	2.93	
Haina Camarutana	207	165	92	15	7	34	2.42	
Using Computers	(40%)	(32%)	(18%)	(3%)	(1%)	(7%)	3.13	
W = 65	214	187	72	14	3	30		
Writing Effectively	(41%)	(36%)	(14%)	(3%)	(1%)	(6%)	3.21	

Notes: The numerical scores in the rightmost column were calculated by assigning the following values to each response option: Excellent=4, Good=3, Average=2, Below Average=1, and Poor=0. Scores exclude respondents who indicated "no basis to judge/did not use."

Total Respondents: 520.

Percentages across rows may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

Table 2. Rating of NOVA Instruction and Faculty: 2017 Graduate Survey

	Category	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	Poor	No Basis to Judge	Score (0-4)
uo	Course Content	224 (43%)	203 (39%)	66 (13%)	18 (3%)	8 (2%)	1 (<1%)	3.19
Instruction	Fairness of Grading	224 (43%)	207 (40%)	68 (13%)	9 (2%)	12 (2%)	0 (0%)	3.20
lns	Quality of Instruction	228 (44%)	193 (37%)	60 (12%)	20 (4%)	18 (3%)	1 (<1%)	3.14
	Advisement	179 (34%)	149 (29%)	81 (16%)	44 (8%)	40 (8%)	27 (5%)	2.78
culty	Availability	213 (41%)	178 (34%)	92 (18%)	16 (3%)	12 (2%)	9 (2%)	3.10
Faci	Concern for Students	209 (40%)	169 (33%)	83 (16%)	25 (5%)	27 (5%)	7 (1%)	2.99
	Teaching Ability	210 (40%)	188 (36%)	90 (17%)	16 (3%)	15 (3%)	1 (<1%)	3.08

Notes: The numerical scores in the rightmost column were calculated by assigning the following values to each response option: Excellent=4, Good=3, Average=2, Below Average=1, and Poor=0. Scores exclude respondents who indicated "no basis to judge/did not use."

Total Respondents: 520.

Percentages across rows may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

Table 3. Rating of NOVA Services and Facilities: 2017 Graduate Survey

Category	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	Poor	No Basis to Judge	Score (0-4)
Pookstoro	158	180	105	23	17	29	2.01
Bookstore	(31%)	(35%)	(21%)	(4%)	(3%)	(6%)	2.91
Cafeteria	104	118	104	39	28	119	2.59
	(20%)	(23%)	(20%)	(8%)	(5%)	(23%)	
Campus Security	169	140	72	8	14	109	3.10
Services	(33%)	(27%)	(14%)	(2%)	(3%)	(21%)	
Career & Educational	138	128	83	33	46	84	2.65
Planning Services	(27%)	(25%)	(16%)	(6%)	(9%)	(16%)	
Classrooms	184	184 (36%)	106	7	4	27	3.11
Computer Labe 9	(36%) 195	178	(21%) 63	(1%) 12	(1%) 5	(5%) 59	
Computer Labs & Facilities	(38%)	(35%)	(12%)	(2%)	(1%)	(12%)	3.21
Course & Program	151	140	74	51	62	34	
Advisement	(29%)	(27%)	(14%)	(10%)	(12%)	(7%)	2.56
	158	133	56	13	11	141	
ELI Services	(31%)	(26%)	(11%)	(3%)	(2%)	(28%)	3.12
	159	104	61	12	21	155	
Financial Aid	(31%)	(20%)	(12%)	(2%)	(4%)	(30%)	3.03
	136	117	62	9	13	175	0.05
Learning Lab Services	(27%)	(23%)	(12%)	(2%)	(3%)	(34%)	3.05
Learning Lab Facilities	155	131	52	5	6	163	2 21
Learning Lab Facilities	(30%)	(26%)	(10%)	(1%)	(1%)	(32%)	3.21
Library Facilities	215	174	60	4	7	52	3.27
-	(42%)	(34%)	(12%)	(1%)	(1%)	(10%)	0.21
Maintenance &	203	137	64	11	5	92	3.24
Custodial Services	(40%)	(27%)	(13%)	(2%)	(1%)	(18%)	
Parking	134	156	127	34	25	36	2.71
-	(26%)	(30%)	(25%)	(7%)	(5%)	(7%)	
Personal Counseling	128	102	68	46	53	115	2.52
	(25%) 152	(20%) 173	(13%) 79	(9%) 13	(10%) 11	(22%) 84	
Physical Access	(30%)	(34%)	(15%)	(3%)	(2%)	(16%)	3.03
	185	217	74	9	15	12	
Registration	(36%)	(42%)	(14%)	(2%)	(3%)	(2%)	3.10
Science Labs &	185	162	69	6	8	82	
Equipment	(36%)	(32%)	(13%)	(1%)	(2%)	(16%)	3.19
Services for Students	113	55	26	6	7	305	0.00
with Disabilities	(22%)	(11%)	(5%)	(1%)	(1%)	(60%)	3.26
Student Activities	120	125	63	20	19	165	2.88
	(23%)	(24%)	(12%)	(4%)	(4%)	(32%)	2.00
Testing Lab Services	nd I an Services	155	78	6	13	75	3.13
resuring Lab Services	(36%)	(30%)	(15%)	(1%)	(3%)	(15%)	
Writing Lab Services	127	101	51	7	9	217	3.12
viring Lab Services	(25%)	(20%)	(10%)	(1%)	(2%)	(42%)	

Notes: The numerical scores in the rightmost column were calculated by assigning the following values to each response option: Excellent=4, Good=3, Average=2, Below Average=1, and Poor=0. Scores exclude respondents who indicated "no basis to judge/did not use."

Total Respondents: 512.

Percentages across rows may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

Table 4. Evaluation of Education, Instruction, Faculty, Services and Facilities at NOVA Ordered by Score (Descending Order): 2017 Graduate Survey

Category	Category Item		
Education	Awareness of Many Cultures	( <b>0-4</b> ) 3.34	
Education	Appreciating Other Points of View	3.32	
Services and Facilities	Library Facilities	3.27	
Services and Facilities	Services for Students with Disabilities	3.26	
Services and Facilities	Maintenance & Custodial Services	3.24	
Services and Facilities	Computer Labs & Facilities	3.21	
Services and Facilities	Learning Lab Facilities	3.21	
Education	Writing Effectively	3.21	
Instruction	Fairness of Grading	3.20	
Instruction	Course Content	3.19	
Services and Facilities	Science Labs & Equipment	3.19	
Education	Cooperating with Others	3.16	
Education	Speaking Effectively	3.16	
Instruction	Quality of Instruction	3.14	
Education	Technical Knowledge in Your Area of Study	3.13	
Services and Facilities	Testing Lab Services	3.13	
Education	Using Computers	3.13	
Services and Facilities	ELI Services	3.12	
Services and Facilities	Writing Lab Services	3.12	
Services and Facilities	Classrooms	3.11	
Faculty	Availability	3.10	
Services and Facilities	Campus Security Services	3.10	
Services and Facilities	Registration	3.10	
Faculty	Teaching Ability	3.08	
Education	Understanding Fundamental Scientific Concepts	3.07	
Services and Facilities	Learning Lab Services	3.05	
Services and Facilities	Financial Aid	3.03	
Services and Facilities	Physical Access	3.03	
Faculty	Concern for Students	2.99	
Education	Providing Leadership	2.95	
Education	Understanding Math	2.93	
Services and Facilities	Bookstore	2.91	
Services and Facilities	Student Activities	2.88	
Faculty	Advisement	2.78	
Education	Understanding International Issues	2.78	
Services and Facilities	Parking	2.71	
Services and Facilities	Career & Educational Planning Services	2.65	
Services and Facilities	Cafeteria	2.59	
Services and Facilities	Course & Program Advisement	2.56	
Services and Facilities	Personal Counseling	2.52	

Note: The numerical scores in the rightmost column were calculated by assigning the following values to each response option:

Excellent=4, Good=3, Average=2, Below Average=1, and Poor=0. Scores exclude respondents who indicated "no basis to judge/did not use."